

Monday, June 27, 2011

Dear Mr. President Obama,

👉 *In the space of NGO/Civil Society, I voluntarily developed the first BBB outside the North American Continent – and the first in the world to be founded on personal resources - starting “full-force” immediately after 9-11, wanting to contribute solid American values abroad, and also giving something outstanding to my country of origin, in the process.*

👉 *In the space of "innovation", I have invented and developed the next big idea, enabling an impact in the space of wireless - perhaps comparable to that of Internet on the PC.*

This innovation enables solutions and business models with a potential breakthrough impact on commerce, catalyst to US & Global economics, solutions that can save American jobs, and help address Civil Society problems.

Request for Consideration: *reality has been revealed to be such that “set goals” are beyond reach, because the “environment” has been compromised and is controlled, in the framework of undeclared, “unlimited resources” are/can be applied to block/obstruct/undermine progress, innovation, the entrepreneurial spirit. The Discovery, Realization and Documentation of this reality – before “too late”, enables the surfacing of “truth/facts” and a righteous outcome in support of innovation/the entrepreneurial spirit - yet still possible.*

Mr. President, will you consider a measure of attention, and possibly support on merit – if, following review, you will agree that "this" innovation may have an outstanding impact for US interests, i.e., the American people, US Economics, Global evolutions, and if following review, you will also share my views that the possibility for progress/success, has been greatly compromised for me and "this" invention, such that not only I/and all those concerned, have been deprived of the benefits of this innovation in production - for the past five years, but also, the actions of those concerned may be so compromising, that because of the powerful market-leaders for which they work, and implicitly the miss-use of power - I may not be "allowed" to succeed, regardless of the continuing losses to their shareholders, and to the value-chain community at large - as I have not been "allowed" to succeed up to this point.

Dire Outstanding

1. **Vodafone:** *Due Diligence Process has been compromised, and the Due Diligence Conclusion has been and continues to be "Invalid" - by VF's own admission at the time - despite commitments having been made to correct mistakes recognized by VF R&D, as far back as 2007.*

This false conclusion has been repeatedly used against my interests, when it was convenient for whatever the reasons and motivations - directly, and indirectly, affecting, and at times completely blocking my progress with 3rd party potential interests.

Recently, I had the revelation to be denied these historical events as having ever occurred - events starting in 2006, and continuing through 2010, and recently I have been insulted with a presentation of accusatory events in complete contradiction to truth and facts, and in complete contradiction to the most recent occurrences of mid/late 2010.

I consider these tactics as potential versions of "victimizing the victim", which could have been successful - while extremely damaging to me, if I had not kept most, if not all records/communications, such that I can be in a position now to "demand" formal apologies from those who consider "innovation" and the "entrepreneurial spirit", a nuisance to be exterminated, if the innovator won't lay down while his/her innovation may be circumvented.

However, more than an apology, I want what is rightfully "mine". The false Due Diligence Conclusion - this has to be formally corrected, to reflect truth and facts. I can prove that I sent Vodafone R&D Leaders from Germany and UK - at their request - the source code for a proof of concept demo, in 2007, and I can prove that I had the proof of concept demos available for Due Diligence Evaluation, since 2006.

I do not want any handouts, but I will stand up for what is right. If Vodafone Shareholders, BOD, have a different perspective on [honor, truth, facts, respect] - then, I am ready and eager to discuss the possibility of a collaboration, mutually rewarding, letting bygones be bygones. However, until there is such desire from Vodafone, I request what I have earned, and is rightfully mine - "Truth and Facts".

2. **Vodafone Technology Head of Standardisation, Industry Alliances, October 24, 2010:** *"Razz, - Having talked to my colleagues - you haven't been able to present a clear concept - nobody in R&D has endorsed your ideas hence you shouldn't be making such claims - provide details of your proposal to me - we won't accept any confidentiality terms."*

This gentleman owes me an apology. Not only are his statements entirely in contradiction to facts, but he is insulting - dismissing my integrity without a minimum courtesy benefit of the doubt - while, ironically, he is able to prove his own assertions supporting his conclusions as un-true, by the end of this 1st-contact message to me.

3. **Nokia:** *Obtained my information - praised it/obtained more - then, when the person evaluating it discovered that my breakthrough was not built on his technology - instead, his technology was presented as a "dead end" example, he ended our interaction using false pretense/offering as argument something which will insult the intelligence of an amoeba. He then became beyond responsibility/accountability, under the might of market leader, Nokia. The SVP of Nokia was appointed by Nokia CEO as responsible for the evaluation process, for the final position of Nokia. I had presented him with the details, and the indefensible argument used by his colleague - driven by personal agenda, distinct from Nokia's best interests - was/is my opinion, as supported by events and facts.*

With the support of my advisor, Nobel nominee, Dr. Leonard I. Linkow, the Nokia SVP pledged to look into the matter, and provide a final formal position for Nokia. However, I was skeptic that he would be able to provide a formal reply, unless ready to override his colleague. To this day, the commitment was not honored - in my opinion, choosing to protect his colleague's ego and/or interests, rather than the interests of Nokia Shareholders. I am also concerned for my own safety. More specifically, I had provided Nokia leaders with a IP information based on good faith, and declared views/appreciation/goals/intentions. However, Nokia leading figures have violated my trust, using the might of the global market leader, as a shield from fair market practices, responsibility, accountability.

Based on the agreement with Nokia's CEO - it is my right - I believe - to have a formal final position from Nokia, in regard to the material I presented for business opportunity to Nokia. I strongly believe that no technology expert will be able to support/defend the "views" presented by the Nokia SVP's colleague. As a result Nokia leadership might consider itself in a delicate position, making it harder for them to choose right from wrong, currently. I am asking for your help Mr. President, to encourage Nokia - BOD/CEO, that there is only opportunity, and I am NOT looking for "retribution", or any forms of compensation. I am only looking for "fairness", and decisions based on truth and merits. As of right now, Nokia was entrusted with my breakthrough IP, and there are corrections that need to be made - by Nokia, overdue, to enable a constructive and rewarding progression, from this point forward.

4. **Verizon:** *Obtained my information, i.e., CEO presented Verizon technology guru, who would be the person to evaluate innovation and business opportunity. Problem: Verizon technology guru assumed the current state of innovation breakthrough to be in idea/concept state. At Dr. Linkow's insistence, he agreed to have his secretary set up appointment for phone discussion upon his return from overseas trip, so that I could have the opportunity to inform him precisely what the state of the innovation system/solutions, was.*

However, he never followed up/appointment was never made, so, as it stands, Verizon obtained my information on good faith, for business/opportunity evaluation, at one point, it assumed a view which was not supported by reality, and it did not allow for this false perception to be corrected - so far. Verizon/the Verizon technology guru still have the obligation to present a position with respect to my IP material presented on good faith, for business opportunity. Furthermore, with respect to my IP - Verizon tech guru does not have the right to assume an unjustified perception about my IP i.e., that it is only in a state of

"idea", contrary to facts/reality, and then to justify actions based on this false perception. Detailed support documentation available upon request.

5. **WAC:** *I had tried to suggest to Vodafone, and argued for the necessity of a consortium like WAC, since 2006. As WAC formed in 2010, I initiated contact since early summer of 2010. However, in the absence of replies, in the fall of 2010, I initiated 1st contact with two highest level leaders - one, the Vodafone Foundation Americas leader, who would be acting as CEO for WAC, and the second, the Vodafone CEO for Europe, who would be acting in the capacity of Chairman for WAC. I was not able to get a reply from the first gentleman, the second gentleman - the Chairman - did not respond directly, however, after a couple of my attempts to initiate contact, he had a top level executive from Vodafone contact me, i.e., "Vodafone Technology Head of Standardisation, Industry Alliances".*

What happened from then on, it is beyond the scope of WAC - and it is for this reason that I would like WAC/the members of WAC to be aware that I had every intention of bringing to their attention an innovation/solutions system, designed and intended as a global standard, enabling breakthrough solutions/results - B2B B2C C2C - with increase in current revenue and/or enabling significant new revenue streams. From the events that came to pass, following reaching out to the Chairman of WAC (Vodafone CEO for Europe), it is my impression that I have been prevented from bringing this information to the attention of WAC members.

Mr. President, despite motivated by altruistic ideals - having had the inner resources to make my dreams "reality", I had the displeasure of discovering that "my" reality had become such that "set goals" are beyond reach, because the "environment" has been compromised and is controlled, in the framework of undeclared, "unlimited resources" applied to block/obstruct/undermine progress, innovation, the entrepreneurial spirit.

The Discovery, Realization and Documentation of this reality – before "too late", enables the surfacing of "truth/facts" and a righteous outcome in support of innovation/the entrepreneurial spirit - yet still possible.

With this in mind, I have taken the liberty to present a measure of the "truth and facts" that I had been confronting with, asking you to consider such action, that will enable me – and perhaps others like me now, and in the future – to be the most of what we can be, and to be able to devote our energy and talents for the betterment of society, not having to be concerned whether merit "alone" will earn results.

Sincerely Yours,
Razz Van Serbanescu
Email: OMIBBBRO@Yahoo.com