

Vodafone Americas Foundation Wireless Contes:

Technology Judge & VF R&D Director – Who is *Blocking Entry/Denying Opportunity?*

Participation Rejected – How is it possible to reject, before reviewing information?

2009 Vodafone Americas Foundation: “Hi Razz, I have spoken to the technology team here, and unfortunately, I do not think the proposal fits into the scope of the Wireless Innovation Project. While it is a very interesting and relevant concept, **it is far too futuristic** to fit into the requirements of the Project.”

Vodafone R&D Leader1: *Updated re VF Foundation Contest, Problems/Solutions*

09 February 2009 Razz Van: David - I had also been in touch with Vodafone Foundation US recently re wireless contest. At first they considered proposed technology **"too advanced and futuristic"**, and impossible to have a prototype accomplished in their 3-year award period. However, I followed up with precise information (technology documentation, development status, IP status, management, university status), establishing that the technology is here/now, already in demos. They were impressed/[...], I sent them 3 projects that would develop under SBU CEWIT – **Product Authentication**, OneMany ActiveNet, Leads Services.

Following Expressed Request –

Projects Submitted to Vodafone Americas Foundation Contest

– Forwarded to VF R&D Director

February 9, 2009 Razz Van: Hi David, I've attached to this message the three projects I submitted to the Vodafone Foundation:

- **Product Authentication**
- **OneMany ActiveNet - Offer/Request Best Price;**
- **Leads Services - Real Estate.**

Historical Information RE: *Projects Submitted to Vodafone Americas Foundation Contest*

Product Authentication: *Commended by VF R&D – During Due Diligence in 2007, i.e.:*

April 25, 2007 Vodafone R&D Leader1 (cc Leader2): Razz – thanks very much for this. I really like this idea and application. I am meeting with [Leader2] tomorrow to discuss things. Will keep you posted ASAP. Regards and thanks for your continued patience.

ActiveNet: *Proof of Concept Demos Available – Source Shared with VF R&D, in 2007, i.e.:*

08 October 2007 Razz Van: Hi David (Vodafone R&D Leader1: “Files attached – Confidential”), I am attaching 2 files - a zip file (Demo), and a ReadMe doc. The zip file contains the source files for running a Offer/Request WAP demo on the Dell example. Let me know when you receive these files?

10/8/07 Vodafone R&D Leader1: Razz- I have this. Thanks.

Razz Van: Asking VF R&D Leader1 if "OK" to Disclose VF Due Diligence to VF Foundation i.e.:

09 February 2009 Razz Van: David - do you have any objections if I disclose to the VF Foundation past relationship with VF UK?

VF R&D Leader1: Does Not Want Due Diligence Events/History Disclosed to VF Foundation

On Mon, 2/9/09 Vodafone R&D Leader1:

It would probably be simpler for you if you did not mention the past contact with Vodafone.

Summary of Events:

1. VF Americas Foundation rejects OneMany innovation technology from entering the innovation wireless contest, because the "technology team" considers innovation "too futuristic", to be able to develop requirements, in less than three years – when in fact "requirements" had already been developed – three years earlier, i.e., in 2006.
2. VF Americas Foundation allows OneMany technology to enter, after having been explained/provided the necessary information to prove requirements can be/have been met, and after having been presented the facts about the proof of concept/demos/development state.
3. VF R&D Leader1 is also thoroughly briefed over the VF Americas Foundation Wireless Contest/entry. The projects entered in the contest and presented to VF R&D Leader1, had in fact - two of the three – had been validated/commended (i.e., Product Authentication) by VF R&D during the Due Diligence process, and furthermore, the source code for the a proof of concept demo had been entrusted with VF R&D Leader1 and Leader3, at Leader1's request, in the process of "Correcting" the Due Diligence Compromising Mistake – for which VF R&D Leaders were responsible.
4. VF R&D Leader1 is asked, and in response he rejects my suggestion, of wanting to share information with VF Americas Foundation, regarding the history of events with VF R&D.
5. At the Conclusion of the Vodafone Foundation America Wireless Innovation Contest – not only that neither of the three breakthrough projects submitted, won anything – BUT – neither of the three breakthrough projects submitted were even selected for the finals.
6. How can possibly something which is at first considered "to advanced to be true" – after proving that it is "true" – *it doesn't even make the finals?*....especially if compared to the finalists/winner – the difference is comparable to the "invention" of the Internet, and an html page.

NOTE: *I mean no disrespect to the finalists, and to the winner. To the contrary, I congratulate them for being innovators. My concern here is about possible practices that may be in dire conflict with innovation and the entrepreneurial spirit, and the effects of such practices on my family, and on the effects of such practices on US and Global economics. If I am wrong about anything – I've made a fool out of myself. However, if I am right – "God help us".*

Recent Discovery:

Vodafone R&D Director was the primary technology Judge for the Vodafone Americas Foundation Contest. More specifically - the immediate supervisor and colleague of the VF R&D Leader1, was playing the lead decisional role in the contest, and the VF R&D Leader1 did not even inform me of this – pretending to not want/or care much about the contest.

Conflict of Interest?

- VF R&D Director was personally aware of the proof of concept demos source code I had forwarded to the VF R&D Leaders, within the scope of correcting the Compromised Due Diligence, which had invalidated the conclusion, compromised the process as the due diligence failed the objective.

However: this same Compromised Due Diligence with an Invalid Conclusion – was invoked by the Director of VF R&D – when I asked the VF CEO in 2008 to support the R&D effort, following Dr. Linkow's introduction (NOTE: Dr. Linkow is OneMany advisor) - however, in response to this intervention to the VF CEO (Mr. Sarun at the time) – the VF R&D Director considered it warranted to respond by pointing out that the R&D Due Diligence had already concluded (invoking the invalid conclusion) that VF was not interested ??? – knowing full well that the Due Diligence conclusion he was invoking was Compromised, Invalid, and this Recognized to be the case by VF R&D Leaders...up to that point?

Does the reader understand the potential Gravity of the Situation?

- The VF R&D Director was also aware that the "mistake" which had invalidated the Due Diligence conclusion, was supposed to be corrected – "I" *having lent my own source, to help* VF R&D – however – after VF R&D Leaders confirmed receipt of my source, and reaffirmed commitments – the matter was never resolved - there were no more follow-ups/results, after obtaining my source – as I point this out to VF R&D Leader1 **two years later/in 2009.**

Even more concerning – in addition to these inexplicable events, and with the passing of time - VF R&D Leaders – like the VF R&D Director, were beginning to refer to the Due Diligence Conclusion as a **Valid, informative "finality"**, and were beginning to justify obstructive actions - on the Due Diligence Conclusion.... **which had not been yet corrected**...and as it stands - under more scrutiny, it could be considered the result of *"intent and malice"?*

- **Conditions for "Overwhelming Conflict of Interests":** consider the VF R&D Director - in the position of technical judge for the contest – having to present to the world, my "too futuristic" innovation as the winner of the contest - and then – having to explain to the world, why Vodafone had been losing perhaps billions of unearned revenue, because of not using this futuristic breakthrough since 2006?...having to explain why only a year earlier he was responding to the VF CEO and to me – that VF was "not interested" invoking the compromised and recognized-as-invalid Due Diligence Conclusion in support?...Plus additional potentially most embarrassing actions/events, occurring within VF R&D – since 2006?

Does the reader understand the potential Gravity of the Situation?

Possible "Motives" Why Vodafone R&D Might Engage in Questionable Activities

VF Unearned Revenue: by 2009 - Billions May Have Been Lost - Cover-up Only Solution?

February 11, 2009 Razz Van: David, I would like to insure receipt of the project-files sent, as I would like also to make sure that they are properly understood/supported if necessary. I am hopeful the files sent will make the business case for a stimulator to global economics, and some unique functionalities/business models i.e., the emergence of Flash Advertising (Real Time Advertising) in the context of "business decisions with real time impact". Do you see the impact OneMany ***** functionality can have for Vodafone/the network operator, at a time when the network operators face diminishing returns on traditional voice services?

VF CEO: Informed of Breakthrough Opportunity, Asked for Support with "Frozen VF R&D"

February 5, 2008 Razz Van (cc Vodafone Leader4): Dear Mr. Sarin, (please see attached docs) Vodafone has signed an NDA and we have been under due diligence. We provide an Enabler technology with a range of Applications and Services - some of the most sought after by companies such as ***** , ***** , ***** , to name a few. Consider this: you have incredible market segmentation, with competing platforms [...] With OneMany technology you can deliver an incredible range of applications and services regardless of the platform, or whether it is a smart phone, or a WAP enabled device. I will attach to this message a couple of documents that could help you realize the incredible potential of our proposed technology, and what impact it could have on your interests as a network operator - especially when considering your views with respect to WAP and the potential off. Considering the revolutionary potential of the proposed technology and solutions, Vodafone R&D could benefit from your expressed opinion.

Vodafone R&D Director: False Account of Historical Events in Response to CEO Contact?

February 7, 2008, 11:15 AM Vodafone R&D Director (cc Vodafone Leader): *Dear Mr Serbanescu I understand that the **due-diligence** process to which you refer **has already concluded** that Vodafone does not have any intention to invest or bring your product to market at this moment in time.*

Vodafone R&D Director made Aware...

that VF R&D was in Possession of My IP Proof of Concept Demos Source Code Since 2007...

...in Order to Correct Their Own "Mistake"

Monday, February 11, 2008 Razz Van (cc Vodafone Leader4): Dear Dr. Walker - Vodafone is aware of Proof of concept demo for enabler + a number of applications - source for one demo having been forwarded to Vodafone.

NOTE: *Proof of Concept Source Code Entrusted to VF R&D Leaders from UK & Germany Since 2007*

Vodafone R&D Leader1:

Reminded that 2 Years Had Passed Since Having Provided VF R&D Leaders with Source Code

Still No [Results] | Feedback]

From: Razz V an, OneMany Inc Sent: 09 February 2009 To: Vodafone Leader1, VF-Group

it had already been a while without events since I had sent Gunther the source code for a demo... More can be said that will bring in a new light on past events.

NOTE: *The "Product Authentication" Project – had been presented to VF R&D Leaders from UK & Spain – in details - during the Due Diligence Process, in 2006 – it received special recognition, the two leaders from the UK & Spain, meeting to "discuss" it...then not hearing anything about it anymore. This is a highly sensitive project, and significant delays due to botched-up jobs or any other reasons, can be consequential to many interests. This project – part of the overall innovation breakthrough/system solutions – was again presented to the Vodafone Foundation Americas Contest – after initially turned down because "too futuristic". Questions might have to be answered?*